Wolf, Jimmy

From:

Wolf, Jimmy

Sent:

Wednesday, June 21, 2017 3:49 PM

To:

'Randazzo, Sam'

Subject:

RE: Wind setback amendment

Sam,

Thanks for the input. I will get this to Rep. Seitz right away.

Jimmy Wolf

Legislative Aide to Representative Bill Seitz 30th House District 614.466.8258 Jimmy.wolf@ohiohouse.gov

From: Randazzo, Sam [mailto:sam@mwncmh.com]

Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 3:33 PM
To: Wolf, Jimmy < Jimmy. Wolf@ohiohouse.gov>
Cc: Elisar, Scott < selisar@mwncmh.com>

Subject: Wind setback amendment

Jimmy

I have inserted notes in the attached PDF version of the setback amendment. I hope they are responsive and useful. Please let me know if there are questions or we can provide additional information.

Thanks for the opportunity.

Sam

Sam Randazzo McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC

(founded 1935)

21 E. State Street, 17th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 719-2840 (office), (614) 469-4653 (fax) (614) 395-4268 (cell) sam@mwncmh.com (e-mail)

From: Jimmy.Wolf@ohiohouse.gov [mailto:Jimmy.Wolf@ohiohouse.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2017 2:31 PM
To: Randazzo, Sam < sam@mwncmh.com >
Subject: Wind setback amendment

Sam,

Rep. Seitz asked that I pass along the attached Senate wind setback amendment that got put into the omnibus. He would like to hear your detailed thoughts on it.

Thanks,

Jimmy Wolf

Legislative Aide to Representative Bill Seitz 30th House District 614.466.8258 Jimmy.wolf@ohiohouse.gov

Sub. H.B. 49 As Pending in S. Finance

| moved to amend as follows: | | |
|--|-----|---------------|
| In line 194 of the title, after "4906.13," insert "4906.20, 4906.201," | 1 2 | |
| In line 618, after "4906.13," insert "4906.20, 4906.201," | 3 | |
| After line 76227, insert: | 4 | |
| "Sec. 4906.20. (A) No person shall commence to construct an | 5 | |
| economically significant wind-farm in this state without first | 6 | |
| having obtained a certificate from the power siting board. An | 7 | |
| economically significant wind farm with respect to which such a | 8 | |
| certificate is required shall be constructed, operated, and | 9 | |
| maintained in conformity with that certificate and any terms, | 10 | |
| conditions, and modifications it contains. A certificate shall be | 11 | |
| issued only pursuant to this section. The certificate may be | 12 | |
| transferred, subject to the approval of the board, to a person | 13 | |
| that agrees to comply with those terms, conditions, and | 14 | |
| modifications. | 15 | |
| (B) The board shall adopt rules governing the certificating | 16 | |
| of economically significant wind farms under this section. Initial | 17 | |
| rules shall be adopted within one hundred twenty days after June | 18. | تدميد . بدنيد |
| 24, 2008. | 19 | |
| (1) The rules shall provide for an application process for | 20 | |

power lines and with regional transmission organizations,

organizations, ice throw, sound and noise levels, blade shear,

shadow flicker, decommissioning, and necessary cooperation for

independent transmission system operators, or similar

47

48

49

50

Summary of Comments on C0102670.pdf

Page: 2

Number: 1 Author: srandazzo Subject: Note Date: 6/21/2017 3:27:48 PM -04'00'

The language added at lines 27 through 39 appears to be designed to reduce a wind developers duty to communicate at the local level and, in any event, assumes that the OPSB will timely and faithfully comply with all the requirements to adopt rules in the face of OPSB's non-compliance for the past 8 years.

| site visits and enforcement investigations. | 51 |
|--|----|
| (a) The rules also shall prescribe a minimum setback for a | 52 |
| wind turbine of an economically significant wind farm. That | 53 |
| minimum shall be equal to a horizontal distance, from the | 54 |
| turbine's base to the property line of the wind farm property, | 55 |
| equal to one and ene tenth two-tenths mes the total height of | 56 |
| the turbine structure as measured from its base to the tip of its | 57 |
| highest blade and be at least one thousand one two hundred | 58 |
| twenty-five feet in horizontal distance from the tip of the | 59 |
| turbine's nearest blade at ninety degrees to property line the | 60 |
| exterior of the nearest, habitable, residential structure | 61 |
| located on adjacent property at the time of the certification | 62 |
| application. | 63 |
| (b) (i) For any existing certificates and amendments thereto, | 64 |
| and existing certification applications that have been found by | 65 |
| the chairperson to be in compliance with division (A) of section | 66 |
| 4906.06 of the Revised Code before the effective date of the | 67 |
| amendment of this section by H.B. 59 of the 130th general | 68 |
| assembly, September 29, 2013, the distance to the exterior of the | 69 |
| nearest, habitable, residential structure all be seven hundred | 70 |
| fifty feet instead of one thousand one hundred twenty five feet. | 71 |
| (ii) Any amendment made to an existing certificate after the | 72 |
| effective date of the amendment of this section by H.B. 483 of the | 73 |
| 130th general assembly shall be subject to the setback provision | 74 |
| of this section as amended by that act. The amendments to this | 75 |
| section by that act shall not be construed to limit or abridge any | 76 |
| rights or remedies in equity or under the common law. | 77 |
| (c) The setback shall apply in all cases except those in | 78 |
| which all owners when an owner of property adjacent to the a | 79 |
| parcel that abuts a parcel where and farm property waive | 80 |

Page: 3

Number: 1 Author: srandazzo Subject: Note Date: 6/21/2017 3:25:06 PM -04'00'

The change to two-tenths works to reduce the minimum setback requirement as it is combined with the other proposed changes. Information provided in recent senate hearings by stakeholders including Julie Johnson shows that Ohio's setback distances should be increased (not decreased).

Number: 2 Author; srandazzo Subject: Note Date: 6/21/2017 3:05:47 PM -04'00'

The language calls for the setback to be measured from a habitable residential structure rather than the property line. this means that wind farm developer obtains an uncompensated-for right to use and enjoy property of non-participating land owners to the disadvantage of the non-participating land owner (a trespass in any other context). Also the setback measurement process is static; it does not compute a setback distance based on the maximum hight or size of turbines that may be installed at the location and it only considers structures that are located on adjacent property at the time the certification application is filed.

Number: 3 Author: srandazzo Subject: Note Date: 6/21/2017 3:07:08 PM -04'00'
Same problem -- measurement is from nearest habitable structure

Number: 4 Author: srandazzo Subject: Note Date: 6/21/2017 3:14:05 PM -04'00'

The deletion of the language that calls for the new setbacks to attach when certificates are amended makes the problems created by the cnetime and static measurement of the minimum setback worse. In other words, the OPSB approves a certificate to construct and operate 400 foot turbine towers with specified turbine blade lengths. Then the wind farm developer seeks an amendment to install 600 foot towers and turbines that have a much longer blade length. The larger turbines are designed to increase the duration and frequency of the operation of the wind turbines made possible by elevating the turbines into better wind resources. All of this compounds the problems created by not measuring the setback distance for the property line.

Number: 5 Author: srandazzo Subject: Note Date: 6/21/2017 3:23:59 PM -04'00'

The proposed change to division (c) conforms the statutory language to the wind developers previously asserted (and rejected) claim that a it can evade the minimum setback waiver need only be secured from an owner of property that abuts a parcel which hosts a turbine that penetrates the minimum setback (which this amendment measures from a habitable residential structure at the location at the time of the certificate application). There is no regard for impacts on other owners of property that adjoins the wind farm property and may have their use and property enjoyment rights diminished as a matter of physics simply because their property does not abut the parcel on which the non-compliant turbine is located. And as you know, the OPSB has, for years, been obligated to establish, by rule, the procedure by which minimum setback waiver must be secured and as no done so.

In line 102772, after "4906.13," insert "4906.20, 4906.201,"

108

Page: 4

Number: 1 Author: srandazzo Subject: Note Date: 6/21/2017 3:26:52 PM -04'00'
2329.66 identifies type of property that is exempt from execution, garnishment, attachment or sale to satisfy a judgment or order and the scope of the exemption. There is definition of "parcel" in division (A)(1)(c) but the definition is designed to limit the scope of the exemption and not to -generally define the term "parcel".

Number: 2 Author: srandazzo Subject: Note Date: 6/21/2017 3:27:11 PM -04'00'

The changes made in lines 99 through 107 simply repeat the problems discussed above but do so with regard to wind farms of 50 megawatts or

| The motion was agreed t | IO |
|-------------------------|----|
|-------------------------|----|

SYNOPSIS

| Revises wind farm setbacks | 109 |
|--|-----|
| R.C. 4906.20 and 4906.201 | 110 |
| Alters the minimum setback for wind turbines of wind farms | 111 |
| with generating capacity of five megawatts or more by changing the | 112 |
| two applicable horizontal distances used to calculate the setback | 113 |
| requirement as follows: | 114 |
| - Makes the distance requirement from the turbine's base to | 115 |
| the wind farm property line equal to 1.2 times the total turbine | 116 |
| height to its highest blade (current law is 1.1 times); and | 117 |
| - Measures the 1,225 foot distance requirement from the tip | 118 |
| of the turbine's nearest blade at 90° to the exterior of the | 119 |
| nearest, habitable residential structure, if any, located on | 120 |
| adjacent property (current law measures the distance to the | 121 |
| property line of the nearest adjacent property). | 122 |
| Changes the setback waiver provision to permit "an owner of a | 123 |
| parcel that abuts a parcel where a wind turbine is located" to | 124 |
| waive the setback requirement (current law permits "all owners of | 125 |
| property adjacent to the wind farm property" to make the waiver). | 126 |
| Removes the provision, both for wind farms that are | 127 |
| economically significant and that are major utility facilities, | 128 |
| that amendments to certificates made after the effective date of | 129 |
| H.B. 483 of the 130th General Assembly are subject to that act's | 130 |
| provisions. | 131 |